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#### Abstract

Au}\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{P}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{SCN})\right] . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{AuN}\) $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, M_{r}=1059.9$, $a=$ 13.806 (6), $\quad b=22.110(9), \quad c=15.774$ (4) $\AA$, $\beta=94.68(4)^{\circ}, Z=4, \mu($ Mo $K \alpha)=3.24 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, D_{c}=$ $1.462, D_{m}=1.46(1) \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, V=4799 \AA^{3}$. Final $R=R_{w}=0.055$ for 4599 unique diffractometercollected reflections. The complex crystallizes as a four-coordinate Au compound with an unusually long $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{S}$ distance.


Introduction. The title compound was obtained during a study of possible three- or four-coordinate Au complexes with thiocyanate and phosphine ligands. It was prepared by reacting [ $\mathrm{Au} L_{2} \mathrm{SCN}$ ] $\left(L=\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ with an eightfold excess of triphenylphosphine in benzene solution. The mixture was refluxed and crystals were then grown by evaporation. Elemental analyses of $63.65 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.66 \% \mathrm{H}, 1.25 \% \mathrm{~N}$ and $8.58 \% \mathrm{P}$ were obtained. The theoretical composition includes $62 \cdot 32 \%$ $\mathrm{C}, 4.47 \% \mathrm{H}, 1.32 \% \mathrm{~N}$ and $8.77 \% \mathrm{P}$.

Preliminary examination of crystals by Weissenberg and precession techniques showed that two types of crystals were present. Most crystals were monoclinic with systematically absent reflections corresponding to space group $P 2_{1} / n$. However, a few crystals from the same batch were found to be orthorhombic, with space group $P 22_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. Both types of crystals were subsequently shown to be modifications of the same title compound, although only the structure of the monoclinic modification is reported here.

For intensity-data collection a well formed transparent monoclinic crystal was mounted on a Nicolet $R 3 m$ diffractometer equipped with a graphite-crystal monochromator set for Mo $K a$ radiation. Accurate cell parameters were obtained from a least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 25 reflections. A total of 6613 non-zero reflections were measured for $2 \theta$ up to $45^{\circ}$. After Lp and empirical absorption corrections,
averaging equivalent reflections gave 4599 unique data with $F>3 \sigma(F)$.
The structure was solved by direct and heavy-atom methods, using SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1979), and refined to $R=0.071$ and $R_{w}=\sum w^{1 / 2} \Delta / \sum w^{1 / 2}\left|F_{o}\right|=$ 0.068 , for a model in which atoms not in the phenyl rings were anisotropic (Muir, Muir, Arias, Campana \& Dwight, 1981). Neutral-atom scattering factors were used, and the weighting scheme was $w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}(F)+$ $0.001 F^{2}$. At this point the Fourier difference synthesis was $<1.4 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ except for a single $2.5 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ peak located between the molecules of the complex. When this peak was included in the model as an O atom it gave a satisfactory temperature factor, and so the complex appears to be a hydrate. The final model, with H atoms riding on the corresponding C atom ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.96 \AA$ and $U_{\mathrm{H}}=0.06 \AA^{2}$ ) and with anisotropic temperature factors for all atoms except H and O , refined to $R=R_{w}=0.055$. Final atomic coordinates are given in Table 1, bond lengths and angles in Table 2.*

Discussion. The $\left\{\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{SCN})\right\rfloor$ molecule is shown in Fig. 1. The coordination about the Au is fourfold. The Au -phosphine geometry is considerably distorted from trigonal planar, with the Au atom $0.38 \AA$ out of the least-squares plane through the P atoms. This is about one half of the expected distance for an ideal tetrahedral $\mathrm{Au} L_{4}^{+}$geometry. $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ distances are long: 2.384 (3), 2.401 (4) and 2.413 (3) $\AA$ (average $2.399 \AA$ ) and the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ angles are $113.8(1)$, 116.7 (1) and 122.3 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$.

[^0]Table 1. Atom coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Au | 908 (1) | 1471 (1) | 2524 (1) | 53 (1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 9300 (3) | 1585 (2) | 2977 (2) | 53 (1) |
| P (2) | 2181 (3) | 1748 (2) | 3593 (2) | 55 (1) |
| P (3) | 1251 (2) | 1591 (1) | 1077 (2) | 47 (1) |
| S | 894 (3) | 229 (1) | 2839 (2) | 76 (1) |
| C | 1998 (11) | 35 (5) | 2927 (7) | 78 (5) |
| N | 2818 (10) | -146 (5) | 2958 (8) | 116 (6) |
| C(111) | 9247 (9) | 1485 (6) | 4120 (7) | 59 (5) |
| C(112) | 9147 (9) | 925 (6) | 4450 (7) | 79 (5) |
| C(113) | 9214 (10) | 839 (6) | 5331 (8) | 95 (6) |
| C(114) | 9362 (11) | 1307 (6) | 5861 (8) | 102 (6) |
| C(115) | 9474 (10) | 1881 (7) | 5545 (8) | 95 (6) |
| C(116) | 9430 (9) | 1965 (6) | 4674 (7) | 75 (5) |
| C(121) | 8363 (8) | 1068 (5) | 2551 (6) | 56 (4) |
| C(122) | 7433 (8) | 1058 (7) | 2836 (8) | 84 (5) |
| C(123) | 6729 (10). | 666 (6) | 2474 (8) | 92 (6) |
| C(124) | 6974 (11) | 262 (6) | 1854 (10) | 104 (7) |
| C(125) | 7888 (11) | 268 (7) | 1570 (10) | 114 (7) |
| C(126) | 8566 (10) | 671 (6) | 1911 (8) | 82 (5) |
| C(131) | 8771 (7) | 2323 (4) | 2747 (6) | 54 (4) |
| C(132) | 9157 (8) | 2686 (5) | 2144 (6) | 62 (4) |
| C(133) | 8752 (9) | 3245 (6) | 1928 (8) | 85 (5) |
| C(134) | 7951 (11) | 3449 (5) | 2311 (9) | 101 (6) |
| C(135) | 7568 (11) | 3083 (6) | 2899 (9) | 97 (6) |
| C(136) | 7966 (8) | 2544 (5) | 3121 (8) | 76 (5) |
| C(211) | 1892 (8) | 2407 (5) | 4202 (7) | 59 (4) |
| C(212) | 2128 (9) | 2451 (6) | 5077 (7) | 71 (5) |
| C(213) | 1853 (9) | 2957 (6) | 5523 (8) | 83 (5) |
| C(214) | 1354 (9) | 3408 (6) | 5111 (10) | 92 (6) |
| C(215) | 1111 (8) | 3384 (5) | 4238 (8) | 75 (5) |
| C(216) | 1384 (7) | 2886 (5) | 3805 (7) | 64 (4) |
| C(221) | 3312 (7) | 1953 (5) | 3173 (6) | 54 (4) |
| C(222) | 3757 (7) | 2512 (5) | 3293 (6) | 66 (4) |
| C(223) | 4607 (8) | 2630 (6) | 2922 (7) | 79 (5) |
| C(224) | 5043 (8) | 2208 (8) | 2456 (7) | 102 (7) |
| C(225) | 4594 (8) | 1662 (7) | 2338 (9) | 101 (6) |
| C(226) | 3750 (9) | 1537 (5) | 2667 (7) | 71 (5) |
| C(231) | 2535 (10) | 1198 (5) | 4391 (7) | 71 (5) |
| C(232) | 1808 (11) | 943 (6) | 4842 (7) | 91 (6) |
| C(233) | 2057 (17) | 529 (8) | 5471 (10) | 137 (10) |
| C(234) | 2960 (15) | 350 (7) | 5659 (9) | 153 (9) |
| C(235) | 3662 (18) | 579 (9) | 5200 (11) | 149 (11) |
| C(236) | 3459 (12) | 1008 (7) | 4592 (8) | 99 (6) |
| C(311) | 1335 (7) | 2389 (4) | 794 (5) | 45 (3) |
| C(312) | 933 (9) | 2635 (5) | 32 (7) | 75 (5) |
| C(313) | 1032 (11) | 3244 (6) | -131 (10) | 97 (6) |
| C(314) | 1492 (11) | 3620 (6) | 421 (10) | 99 (6) |
| C(315) | 1889 (10) | 3388 (5) | 1162 (8) | 90 (6) |
| C(316) | 1820 (9) | 2777 (5) | 1367 (7) | 72 (5) |
| C(321) | 2374 (7) | 1274 (4) | 758 (6) | 53 (4) |
| C(322) | 2962 (7) | 1566 (5) | 246 (8) | 71 (5) |
| C(323) | 3748 (9) | 1273 (6) | -49 (10) | 103 (7) |
| C(324) | 3984 (8) | 706 (6) | 193 (9) | 95 (6) |
| C(325) | 3420 (9) | 406 (5) | 728 (8) | 89 (5) |
| C(326) | 2601 (9) | 687 (5) | 1031 (7) | 71 (5) |
| C(331) | 385 (7) | 1282 (4) | 267 (6) | 52 (4) |
| C(332) | 9414 (9) | 1403 (7) | 279 (8) | 73 (6) |
| C(333) | 8728 (8) | 1186 (6) | -319(7) | 74 (5) |
| C(334) | 9006 (8) | 815 (6) | -942 (8) | 85 (5) |
| C(335) | 9963 (10) | 708 (7) | 8995 (10) | 124 (8) |
| C(336) | 640 (9) | 937 (7) | -395 (8) | 101 (6) |
| O | 4733 (13) | -416 (8) | 2509 (11) | 232 (7) $\dagger$ |

[^1]Table 2. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| Au-P(1) 2 . | 2.401 (4) | $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(2) \quad 2.4$ | 2.413 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(3) \quad 2.3$ | 2.384 (3) | $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{S} \quad 2.7$ | 2.791 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111) \quad 1$. | 1.824 (12) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(121) \quad 1.8$ | 1.813 (11) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(131) \quad 1$. | 1.812 (11) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(211) \quad 1.8$ | 1.807 (12) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(221) \quad 1$. | 1.803 (11) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231) \quad 1.7$ | 1.791 (12) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(311) \quad 1.8$ | 1.826 (10) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(321) \quad 1.8$ | 1.809 (11) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(331) \quad 1$. | 1.812 (10) | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} \quad 1.5$ | 1.577 (15) |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ | $1 \cdot 199$ (20) | $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{O} \quad 2.8$ | 2.85 (2) |
| $P(1)-A u-P(2)$ | 113.8 (1) | $P(1)-A u-P(3)$ | $122 \cdot 3$ (1) |
| $P(2)-A u-P(3)$ | $116.7(1)$ | $A u-P(1)-C(111)$ | 113.2 (4) |
| $A u-P(1)-C(121)$ | 118.1 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(111) \cdot \mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(121)$ | 101.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(131)$ | 113.7(4) | $\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(131)$ | 105.1 (5) |
| C(121)-P(1)-C(131) | 1) $103 \cdot 3(5)$ | $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2 \mid 1)$ | 113.4 (4) |
| $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(221)$ | 114.3 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(211)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(221)$ | 103.2 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231)$ | 117.4 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(211)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231)$ | $103 \cdot 5$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(221)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231)$ | 1) $103.5(6)$ | $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | 111.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(321)$ | 117.7 (3) | C(311) P(3)-C(321) | $103 \cdot 5$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(331)$ | 117.5 (4) | C(311)-P(3)-C(331) | 104.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(321)-\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(331)$ | 1) $100.9(5)$ |  |  |


| S-C-N | $175.4(1 \cdot 1)$ | $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ | $105 \cdot 2(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $91.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $98 \cdot 1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Au} \mathrm{P}(3)$ | $106 \cdot 6(1)$ |  |  |



Fig. 1. The $\left|\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{SCN})\right|$ molecule.

The $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ligand is also coordinated to the Au atom, so the resulting geometry is trigonal pyramidal. The $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{S}$ distance is unusually long, 2.791 (4) $\AA$, and the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ angles vary considerably: $91.8(1)$, 98.1 (1) and $106 \cdot 6(1)^{\circ}$. By comparison, $\left[L_{2} \mathrm{AuSCN} \mid\right.$ is three-coordinate with almost ideal trigonal-planar geometry (Muir, Muir \& Arias, 1982). It has more normal interatomic distances: $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ is $2.348 \AA$ (average), while $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{S}$ is 2.468 (4) $\AA, 0.32 \AA$ less than for $\left|L_{3} \mathrm{AuSCN}\right|$. Other $L_{3} \mathrm{Au}$ complexes are known, $\left.\left|L_{3} \mathrm{Au}\right| \mid \mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ (Guggenberger, 1974) and the analogous tetraphenylborate derivative (Jones, 1980a), but both of these are ionic with trigonal-planar cations and having normal interatomic distances.

The water molecule is located in a small hole near the N end of the $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ligand. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance is 2.85 (2) $\AA$.

Few other four-coordinate $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ complexes are known. The cation in $\left.\mid \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{pdma})_{2} \| \mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ $\mid$ pdma $=o$-phenylenebis(dimethylarsine) $\mid$ displays fourfold geometry, with As-Au-As 87 (1) or 122 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ for angles within or between the bidentate chelate groups (Usón, Laguna, Vicente, Garcia, Jones \&

Table 3. Comparison of $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths
$(\AA)$ in some $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ complexes

| Compound ( $L=\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ) | Au-P | Au-S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ $L_{2} \mathrm{AuSCN}$ ] | 2.348 (2)* | 2.468 (4) |
| \| $L_{3} \mathrm{AuSCN}$ \| $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2.399 (15)* | 2.791 (4) |
| $\left\|L_{3} \mathrm{Au} \\|\left\|\left\|\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right\| \cdot L \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.\right.$ | 2.402 (9)** | ( $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ in non-bonded $L$ is 3.95 A ) |
| $\left\|L_{s} \mathrm{Aul\|\|} \mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right\| \cdot \mathrm{EtOH}$, | 2.447 (14)** | (Trigonal site) |
| disordered structure | 2.606 (6)* | (Tetrahedral site) |
| $\left\|\left(\mathrm{MePh}{ }_{2} \mathbf{P}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Au} \\|\left\|\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right\|\right.$ | 2.449 (1) |  |

Sheldrick, 1981). [ $\left.L_{4} \mathrm{Au}\right]\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right]$ has been studied in powder form (Malatesta, Naldini, Simonetta \& Cariati, 1966), and more recently has been crystallized as a variety of solvates. None of these (Jones, 1980b, 1982) show the sought-after regular tetrahedral geometry: the chloroform solvate contains a trigonal-planar cation with three short $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds (average $2.402 \AA$ ), and a very remote $(3.95 \AA) \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ligand, while the ethanol and acetonitrile analogs are disordered between tetrahedral and trigonal components. The ethanol analog has average Au-P bond lengths of $2.447 \AA$ (trigonal component) and $2 \cdot 606 \AA$ (tetrahedral component).

The only monodentate four-coordinate $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ complex which shows nearly regular tetrahedral geometry in the solid state is $\left[\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (Elder, Zeiher, Onady \& Whittle, 1981). Here the Au atom occupies a site of $\overline{4}$ symmetry and the coordination about the Au is close to ideal tetrahedral, with $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P} 2.449$ (1) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ angles of 105.24 (4) and 118.32 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds in $\left[L_{3} \mathrm{AuSCN}\right] . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are thus longer than those of $\left[L_{2} \mathrm{AuSCN}\right]$, the same in length as those of
$\left[L_{3} \mathrm{Au}\right]\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right] . L . \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, shorter than those found for $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{MeP}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Au}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, and much shorter than those found for either site in $\left[L_{4} \mathrm{Au}\right]\left[\mathrm{BPh}_{4}\right]$.EtOH. As can be seen from Table 3, there is clearly some correlation of both $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths with degree of steric crowding about the Au atom.

We are grateful to Professor G. M. Sheldrick and to Dr L. Bird for helpful discussions. Research was supported in part by grant RR-8102 from the Division of Research Resources, National Institutes of Health.
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#### Abstract

Hg}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{l}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}-\right.\) $\mathrm{O}_{8}$, triclinic, $P 1, a=12.59(1), b=16.07(2), c=$ 9.73 (1) $\AA, \quad \alpha=109.1$ (1), $\beta=113.9(1), \gamma=$ $73.4(1)^{\circ}, U=1673.78 \AA^{3}, F(000)=1087 \cdot 96, Z=2$, $D_{x}=2.309, D_{m}=2.318 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$. The structure, determined from 2496 observed diffractometer-


[^2]measured intensities ( $R=0.057$ ), shows two independent Hg each coordinated to one $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl: $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{N}=2.24(2), \quad 2.36$ (2) $\AA \dot{\mathrm{A}} ; \quad \mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{N}=$ $2 \cdot 30$ (2), $2 \cdot 28$ (2) $\AA$. Each Hg is also coordinated to two trifluoroacetate groups: $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{O}=2.21(1)$, 2.53 (2), 2.58 (2), 2.81 (2), 2.88 (2) $\AA ; \mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{O}=$ 2.23 (1), 2.35 (2), 2.78 (3), 2.82 (2) Á.

Introduction. It has been shown (Halfpenny, Small \& Thorpe, 1978; Halfpenny \& Small, 1980, 1981) that


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic temperature factors and H atom positions have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36776 ( 25 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

[^1]:    * $U_{\text {eq }}$ is $\frac{1}{3}$ of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathbf{U}$ matrix.
    $\dagger$ Isotropic.

[^2]:    * Recommended IUPAC name: catena-poly $\left\{\left[2,2^{\prime}\right.\right.$-bipyridyl(tri fluoroacetato- $O, O^{\prime}$ ) mercuryl- $\mu$-(trifluoroacetato- $O, O^{\prime}: O^{\prime}$ )-[2,2'-bi-pyridyl(trifluoroacetato- $O, O^{\prime}$ ) mercury)- $\mu$-(trifluoroacetato- $\left.\left.O: O^{\prime}\right)\right\}$.

